

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,777	12/04/2003	Leigh Ann Cooper	11867.001	11867.001 3375	
28309 7	7590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
BOWERS HARRISON LLP			GELLNER, JEFFREY L		
GARY K. PRICE, ESP. 25 RIVERSIDE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PO BOX 1287			3643		
EVANSVILLE, IN 47706-1287			DATE MAIL ED. 00/00/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/729,77	7	COOPER, LEIGH	ANN	
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Jeffrey L.	Gellner	3643	L	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress	
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) o period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wither the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. days, a reply within the state tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be til utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)[[Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 04 December 2	003			
·	•	o)⊠ This action is n				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction ion Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	e withdrawn from co	quirement.	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the oath or declaration is objected to be	ion to the drawing(s) the correction is require	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 Cl		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation.	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicatents have been receive ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	Stage	
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Per No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)	

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12, drawn to a floral holder with foam block, classified in class 47, subclass 41.12.
- II. Claim 13-15, drawn to a method of arranging flowers, classified in class 47, subclass 58.1R.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to paint a wall.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon election of Invention I, Applicant is further required under 35 USC 121 to election between the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A Figs. 1 and 2 floral holder with cap (claims 1-6)

Species B: Figs. 7-12 floral holder with top surface (claims 7-12)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the invention/species combination that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,777

Art Unit: 3643

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The official fax telephone number for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffréy L. Gellner

Primary Examiner